

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,347	12/12/2003	Lundy S. Fields	5F03.1-011	3746
	90 02/27/2007 DEF SANTOS & GREEN	EXAMINER		
GARDNER GROFF SANTOS & GREENWALD, P.C. 2018 POWERS FERRY ROAD SUITE 800 ATLANTA, GA 30339			MILLER, WILLIAM L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
•				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 02/27/2007		02/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/735,347	FIELDS ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		William L. Miller	3677
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w ree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□		action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 11-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 10-17 and 19 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 18 and 20-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or is/are specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement of the oath of the	r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) <u></u> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s)		
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te

Application/Control Number: 10/735,347 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment received on 12-04-2006 has been entered. Claims 10-27 are pending.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 10, 11, 13, 15-18, 20-22, 24, and 26 are objected to because of the following informalities:

```
claim 10, line 2, after "consumers" insert --, said devices receiving coins--;
       claim 10, line 7, before "coin" insert --said-- and before "coins" insert --said--;
       claim 11, line 2, before "charitable" insert -said-- and before "coins" insert --said--;
       claim 13, line 2, change "a" to --said--;
       claim 15, line 3, after "consumers" insert --, said devices receiving coins--;
       claim 15, line 4, before "consumers" insert --said--;
       claim 15, line 5, after "amount" insert -- of said coins-- and change "charity" to -- a
charitable entity--;
       claim 15, line 8, change "with" to --on--;
       claim 15, line 9, after "amounts" insert -- of said coins--;
       claim 16, line 2, change both recitations of "a" to --said--;
       claim 17, line 2, before "funding" insert --said--;
       claim 18, line 1, before "coin" insert --said--;
       claim 18, line 2, before "coins" insert --said--;
       claim 20, line 2, before "coin" insert --said-- and before "coins" insert --said--;
       claim 20, line 4, change "a" to --said--:
```

Application/Control Number: 10/735,347

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3677

```
claim 20, line 5, change "a" to --said--;
claim 20, line 6, before "funding" insert --said--;
claim 21, line 2, after "consumers" insert --, said devices receiving coins--;
claim 22, line 1, before "coin" insert --said--;
claim 22, line 2, before "coins" insert --said--;
claim 24, line 2, before "charitable" insert --said-- and before "coins" insert --said--; and
claim 26, line 2, change "a" to --said--.
```

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. Claims 18 and 20-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. In order to distribute charitable amounts as recited in claim 15, the coin collection and retention devices would have to be first accepted from the consumers. Consequently, the accepting limitation in claims 18 and 20 is unclear. It appears the accepting limitation should be included in claim 15.
- 5. In claim 21, line 4, the phrase "and/or" renders the scope of the claim unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,347

Art Unit: 3677

Page 4

- 7. Claims 21, 22, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silcox (US#D304644) in view of Ricciardi (US#2731226).
- 8. Regarding claim 21, Silcox discloses a coin collection and retention device (Fig. 1) receiving coins in its front end in its "donation box"; and a commercial entity advertising a product adjacent the rear end of the device in its "advertising holder", the inherent advertisements being viewed as on the exterior of the device.
- 9. Silcox fails to specifically disclose advertising a charitable entity on the exterior of the device. However, Ricciardi discloses in col. 2, lines 51-55, a coin collection and retention device 29 having an advertisement of the associated charity on the exterior thereof such that the donor is clearly informed of the specific charity to which they are donating. Therefore, as taught by Ricciardi, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Silcox by advertising a charitable entity on the exterior of the device such that the donor is clearly informed of the specific charity to which they are donating.
- 10. Regarding claim 22, the device is inherently accepted so that the donations can be collected.
- 11. Regarding claim 27, Silcox disclose a free sample product, namely the inherent confectionary in the confectionary tray portion of the device.
- 12. Regarding claims 21, 22, and 27, Silcox in view of Ricciardi discloses all the claimed structure require to perform the claimed method, and under the principles of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claimed, then the method claimed will be considered to be anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the specification, it can be assumed the

Art Unit: 3677

device will inherently perform the same process. *In re King*, 802 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. Claims 10-17 and 19 are allowed.
- 14. Claims 18, 20, and 23-26 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L. Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-7068. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,347

Art Unit: 3677

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William L. Miller Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3677

WLM